YOUNG CONAWAY STARGATT & TAYLOR, LLP

BEN T. CASTLE
SHELDON N. SANDLER
RICHARD A. LEVINE
RICHARD A. LEVINE
RICHARD A. ZAPPA
FREDERICK W. IOBST
RICHARD H. MORSE
DAVID C. MCBRIDE
JOSEPH M. NICHOLSON
CRAIG A. KARSINITZ
BARRY M. WILLOUGHBY
ANTHONY G. FLYNN
JEROME K. GROSSMAN
EUGENE A. DIPRINZIO
JAMES L. PATTON, JR.
ROBERTL. THOMAS
WILLIAMD. JORNSTON
THOOTHY I. SNYDER
BRUCE L. SILVERSTEIN
WILLIAM W. BOWSER
LARRY J. TARABICOS
RICHARD A. DILIBERTO, JR.
MELANIE K. SHARP
CASSANDRA F. ROBERTS
RICHARD J. A. POPPER
TERESS A. CHEEK
NEILI MULLEN WALSH
JAMET Z. CHEALTON

ROBERT S. BRADY
JOEL A. WAITE
BRENT C. SHAFFER
DANIEL P. JOHNSON
CRAIGD. GREAR
TIMOTHY JAY HOUSEAL
MARTIN S. LESSNER
PAULINEK. MORGAN
C. BARR FLINN
NATALE WOLF
LISA B. GOOMAN
JOHN W. SHAW
JOHN W. SHAW
JOHN W. SHAW
JOHN J. HAGNON
MICHAEL R. NESTOR
ROUN P. BISSELL
SCOTT A. HOLT
JOHN T. DORSEY
M. BLAKE CLEARY
CHRISTIAN DOUGLAS WRIGHT
DANIELLE GIBBS
JOHN J. PASCHETTO
NORMAN M. POWELL
ELENA C. NORMAN
EDMON L. MORTON
JOHN E. TRACEY

THE BRANDYWINE BUILDING 1000 WEST STREET, 17TH FLOOR WILMINGTON, DELAWARE 19801

P.O. Box 391 Wilmington, Delaware 19899-0391

> (302) 571-6600 (800) 253-2234 (DE ONLY) FAX: (302) 571-1253

110 West Pine Street
P.O. Box 594
Georgetown, Delaware 19947
(302) 856-3571
(800) 255-2234 (DE Only)
Fax: (302) 856-9338

WWW.YOUNGCONAWAY.COM

DIRECT DIAL: 302-571-6743 DIRECT FAX: 302-576-3517 alundgren@ycst.com

July 18, 2008

JOSEPH M. BARRY RYAN M. BARTLEY
SEAN M. BEACH
DONALD J. BOWMAN, JR.
MICHELE SLERRETTA BUDICAK
JEFFREY T. CASTELLAND
DOUGLAS T. COATS (MD ONLY)
KARA HAMMOND COYLE
KRISTEN SALVATORE DEPALMA
MARGARET M. DIBIANCA
MARY F. DUGAN
ERIN EDWARDS
KENNETH J. ENOS
KERRIANNE MARIE FAY
JAMES J. GALLAGHER
WILLIAM E. GAMGORT
SEAN T. GREECHER
NATHAN D. GROW
STEPHANIE L. HANSEN
JAMES L. HIGGINS
PATRICK A. JACKSON
DAWN M. JONES
KAREN E. KELLER
JENNIFER M. KINKUS
EIDWARD J. KONKUS
EIDWARD J. KONK

SPECIAL COUNSEL
JOHN D. MCLAUGHLIN, JR.
KAREN L. PASCALE
SETH J. REIDENBERG
PATRICIA A. WIDDOSS

EVANGELOS KOSTOULAS
JOHN C. KUFFEL
IMOTHY E. LEMCKEEK
ANDREW A. LUNDGREN
MATTHEW B. LUNN
ADRIA B. MARTINELLI
KATHALEEN MCCORMICK
MICHAEL W. MCDERMOTT
TAMMY L. MERCER
MARBETH L. MINELLA
D. FON MUTTAMARA-WALKER
MICHAEL S. NEIBBURG
(PA & NI ONLY)
JENNIFER R. NOEL
ADAM W. POEF
ROBERT F. POPPITI, JR.
SARA BETH A. REYBURN
CHERYL A. SANTANIELLO
MONTÉ T. SQUIRE
MICHAEL J. THOMAS
TRAVIS N. TURNER
MARGARET B. WHITEMAN
SHARON M. ZIEG

SENIOR COUNSEL CURTIS J. CROWTHER

OF COUNSEL
BRUCE M. STARGATT
STUART B. YOUNG
EDWARD B. MAXWELL, 2ND
JOSY W. INGERSOLL

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL – OUTSIDE TRIAL COUNSEL'S EYES ONLY, PURSUANT TO LR 26.2

BY E-FILE, E-MAIL, AND HAND DELIVERY

The Honorable Vincent J. Poppiti, Special Master Blank Rome 1201 N. Market Street, Suite 800 Wilmington, DE 19801 REDACTED

Re: Honeywell International Inc., et al. v. Apple Computer et al., C.A. No. 04-

1338-JJF (consolidated)

Dear Special Master Poppiti:

We write on behalf of stayed customer-defendants Pentax/Hoya Corporation and Pentax of America, Inc. (collectively "Pentax/Hoya") pursuant to the Court's direction. Counsel for Pentax/Hoya have conferred with counsel for Honeywell, however, the parties were unable to reach an agreement to dismiss Pentax/Hoya from the litigation.

1. Background

To date, Honeywell has formally accused only two models of Pentax/Hoya cameras of infringement, *i.e.*, the OptioS4i and OptioS40. On October 28, 2005, Pentax/Hoya identified thirteen (13) additional cameras models, the LCD manufacturer for each camera model, and the module number in accordance with the Court's October 7, 2005 Order. Pentax/Hoya's LCD suppliers were also identified in its Motion to Stay filed April 12, 2005, which was supplemented in an October 28, 2005 letter.

YOUNG CONAWAY STARGATT & TAYLOR, LLP The Honorable Vincent J. Poppiti July 18, 2008 Page 2



Pentax/Hoya's LCD suppliers, past and present, include:
Any supplier that was not previously licensed under the patent-in-suit was joined as a party. Each supplier subsequently settled with Honeywell, and was dismissed from the litigation. However, Honeywell refuses to dismiss Pentax/Hoya from the litigation even though all of its suppliers have been dismissed.
2. Honeywell's Demands for Additional Information
Despite the fact that all of Pentax/Hoya's accused devices have been licensed and that all of Pentax/Hoya's LCD suppliers are licensed or have settled, Honeywell is unwilling to dismiss Pentax/Hoya from the litigation. Honeywell asserts that it needs additional information, in the form of declarations from Pentax/Hoya and certain of its suppliers, before it can determine whether to dismiss Pentax/Hoya from the litigation. Specifically, Honeywell has requested Pentax/Hoya to provide: (1) a declaration verifying all of the information previously provided to Honeywell and further verifying that Pentax/Hoya does not purchase LCDs from either Optrex or SDI (the manufacturer defendants still in suit), and, (2) declarations from two of Pentax/Hoya's suppliers,
The declaration from must confirm that the LCDs sold to Pentax/Hoya meet the limitations of the Honeywell agreement and that such sales to Pentax are included included. The declaration from Toppoly must confirm that LCD sales to Pentax/Hoya are included
3. Pentax/Hoya Should be Dismissed Notwithstanding Honeywell's Demands
Although Pentax/Hoya would provide a declaration with the information in its possession if ordered to do so, requiring Pentax/Hoya to obtain the requested third-party declarations is unreasonable. Indeed, counsel for Pentax/Hoya has attempted to obtain the requested third-party declarations without success.
Moreover, Honeywell is in a much better position to obtain any information relating to the settlement agreements as they negotiated with both and any information relating to the settlement agreements as they negotiated with both and any and both agreements included. For at least these reasons, Honeywell was and/or is in a better position to obtain the requested information from both and any control over either.
Further illustrating the overreaching nature of Honeywell's request is that Honeywell has only accused two Pentax/Hoya cameras that incorporate LCDs from but Honeywell requests Pentax/Hoya to obtain a declaration from third-party as part of the supplemental identification of Pentax/Hoya's LCD suppliers: was not supplying LCDs to Pentax/Hoya at the time of

the Motion to Stay and was not identified therein. However, Honeywell has never accused any

YOUNG CONAWAY STARGATT & TAYLOR, LLP The Honorable Vincent J. Poppiti July 18, 2008 Page 3

REDACTED

Pentax/Hoya cameras which incorporate LCDs from Honeywell requesting any information relating to



As such, there is no basis for

4. Conclusion

Pentax/Hoya should be dismissed from this litigation for at least the same reasons that its LCD suppliers have been dismissed. All of Pentax/Hoya's LCD suppliers have settled with Honeywell and/or are licensed under the '371 patent. Honeywell has no good faith basis to keep Pentax/Hoya in the litigation.

Respectfully submitted,

Andrew A. Lundgren (No. 4429)

AAL

cc: All counsel of record (by e-filing and e-mail)